

Lauren Davidson

From: Angela Hubbard
Sent: Friday, April 15, 2016 9:23 AM
To: Lauren Davidson
Subject: FW: Zoning comments for planning commission

*Angie Hubbard
Natural Resource Planner II
Okanogan County Office of Planning and Development
123 5th Ave N Ste 130
(509) 422-7090
ahubbard@co.okanogan.wa.us*

From: Patrick Plumb [<mailto:pplumb1@frontier.com>]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2016 10:55 PM
To: Perry Huston; Angela Hubbard
Subject: Zoning comments for planning commission

I am writing to add additional comments to the proposed Zoning code that is being considered by the Okanogan County Planning Commission

I am concerned that the Maps submitted to Okanogan County from the City of Tonasket were thrown out from being considered.

I INVENTED THE TERM THAT IS NOW BEING UTILIZED BY OKANOGAN COUNTY THAT AVOIDS GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT LANGUAGE. I CAME UP WITH CITY EXPANSION AREAS.

I want the term to be used with the maps I have submitted multiple times.

Also I am serious about the need for protecting the airport in Tonasket. We need to make sure that multi story structures are not built in the flight path. THIS COULD ALL BE ADDRESSED IF THE TONASKET MAPS WERE ADOPTED AS PRESENTED.

I also am entirely against the new marijuana proposed "correction". It is even worse than the last one, and one portion of the code says Marijuana is Agriculture and then the previous definition section says it is not.

By passing that option instead of the one that I testified for that was a proposal from growers in conjunction from Jon Wyss, you are going to get the entire section or possibly the entire zoning thrown out by the first court challenge.

I will go back to what I said before. THERE IS NO NEED FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT IF THIS IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO BE PATRONIZING TO THE ANTI MARIJUANA IN MY BACKYARD PEOPLE. Instead, let the invisible hand of the market sort this out. If people are so worried about their land values, why don't they test the market? Offer their property at current market value to buyers and let's see what they go for if people are that worried about it.

The only time I am going to support a Conditional Use Permit is when it simply says "must follow State regulations on the industry" and it gives 1 growing cycle to come into compliance.

We can do better by going to Option 2.

This is about jobs and the economy.

Patrick Plumb, Tonasket